

Executive Summary

Long Range Planning – City Market Development Decisions

1. COMMITTEE IS MEETING BI-WEEKLY AND CONTAINS THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS:

<u>LEPFA BOARD</u>	<u>LEPFA STAFF</u>	<u>PARKS BOARD</u>
<u>CITY/EDC</u>		
CHARLEY JANSEN – P ANDERSON - A	ERIC HART - P	DUSTY FANCHER – P BRIAN
TIM HAGGART - A JOHNSON - A	SCOTT KEITH - P	BRIAN SMITH – P BOB
CHARLES MICKENS - A JOHN DECKER - P	JOHN HOOPER - A	PAUL CARRIER - A RICK KIBBEY - A

NOTE: A - denotes not present, P - denotes present

2. FEBRUARY 11TH MEETING

- A. UPDATE REGARDING ENGAGING IN DISCUSSION WITH BWL RE: OPTIONS FOR “GREEN ENERGY” ARE CONTINUING. THERE WILL BE CONTINUED REVIEW OF OPTIONS WITH HONEYWELL RE: GEOTHERMAL AND THE POTENTIAL COSTS, PAYMENT OPTIONS, AND PAYBACK; IT APPEARS THAT THE GEOTHERMAL HAS AN ESTIMATED PAYBACK OF 15-18 YEARS. OTHER OPTIONS DISCUSSED ARE: (1) WIND TURBINE- A NON METERED UNIT IN A CLASS II WINDZONE, WHICH IS CONSIDERED ‘NON-FAVORABLE’ WOULD HAVE AN ESTIMATED PAYBACK OF CLOSE TO 60 YEARS, (2) SOLAR PANELS- PHOTOVOLTAIC SOLAR PANEL CELLS ON THE MARKET ROOFS COULD BE METERED BACK TO BWL AND THE MARKET WOULD RECEIVE CREDITS ON THE UTILITY BILL (FURTHER CONVERSATION RE: FUNDING AND INSTALLATION BY BWL IS NECESSARY AND WOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE BID DOCUMENTS).
- B. DISCUSSION REGARDING THE ELECTRICAL PROVISIONS OF THE FACILITY VS. THE NEEDS AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE FACILITY ENSUED, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT PROVIDING THE FACILITY WITH 208-3 PHASE WOULD BE THE BEST OPTION.
- C. FOOTING ISSUES COULD PRESENT SOME CONCERNS ON THE BUDGET OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE NEW MARKET, THE CONTINGENCY AMOUNT BUDGETED AT THIS POINT POSSIBLY WOULD NOT COVER THE ISSUES RELATED TO THE FOOTINGS IF DETERMINED TO NOT BE ADEQUATE FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE. FURTHER REVIEW OF THE ISSUE WILL BE HAD AND SOLID NUMBERS WILL BE NEEDED TO MAKE THE ISSUE MORE REALISTIC.

3. EVENTS IMPACTED BY THE DEVELOPMENT

- I. NO FURTHER DISCUSSIONS OCCURRED ON THIS TOPIC.

4. DESIGN PROCESS

- A. DISCUSSION REGARDING FILL ANALYSIS COSTS (C2AE) AND ADDITIONAL COSTS ASSOCIATED.
- B. INTENTION TO HAVE FINAL PLANS AND REVIEW WITH COMMITTEE AT NEXT MEETING.

5. BIDDING PROCESS/PLA

- A. PLA WAS DISCUSSED AND PROGRESS IS STILL ONGOING ON TO RESOLVE ISSUES RELATED TO BID PROCESS IN RELATION TO GENERAL CONTRACTORS SECURING SUBCONTRACTORS.

6. BUSINESS PLAN STATUS – NO UPDATE

7. MISC.

- A. NEED TO CREATE A FORMULATED “WISH LIST” IN CASE ADDITIONAL DOLLARS ARE SECURED FOR THE PROJECT.